

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

The Office Action of February 18, 2010, has been reviewed and the following remarks are responsive thereto. Claims 60, 62, 64, 68, 70, 72, 74, 76, 78, 79, 83, 84, 87 and 88 have been amended and claims 91-94 have been added. No new matter has been added. Claims 60-94 are pending upon entry of the present amendment. Entry of the amendments, reconsideration and allowance of the instant application is respectfully requested.

Claim Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. §103(a)

Claims 60-90 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Littig *et al.* (U.S. Patent No. 5,524,276, “Littig”) in view of Ishigami (U.S. Patent No. 6,625,445, “Ishigami”) and Piosenka *et al.* (U.S. Patent No. 5,926,756, “Piosenka”). This rejection is traversed for the following reasons.

Amended independent claim 60 recites, *inter alia*,

receiving, through the user interface displayed at the computing device, individual user selections of multiple types of personalized information to transfer from a first mobile communication device to the computing device, wherein the multiple types of personalized information include at least one of: a calendar, a phonebook and a message; [and]

receiving user input corresponding to a command to initiate transfer of personalized information corresponding to the selected types of personalized information from the first mobile communication device to the computing device, wherein the user selections of the personalized information types are different from the user input corresponding to the command to initiate transfer and wherein the user selections of the information types are received prior to receiving the command to initiate transfer of the personalized information.

None of the cited references, taken either separately or in combination, teaches or suggests the ability to individual select multiple personalized information types from a user interface displaying at a computing device. While Piosenka generally illustrates an interface through which a user may select a “Read Page” function (see, e.g., FIG. 6), Piosenka does not teach or suggest that multiple features of the feature group listing are individually selectable prior to a command to initiate transfer of personalized information corresponding to the multiple selected personalized information types, as recited in claim 60. In fact, Piosenka is wholly silent regarding the “Read Page” functionality illustrated in FIG. 6. Littig is similarly deficient. For

example, FIG. 6A of Littig merely illustrates that the user enters #66# or #69# to enable radio communications. There is no teaching or suggestion in Littig of individual selection of personalized information types. Ishigama fails to cure the above deficiencies of Littig and Piosenka. Accordingly, claim 60 is allowable for at least these reasons.

Amended independent claims 68 and 74 recite features similar to those discussed above with respect to claim 60 and are thus allowable for at least the same reasons as claim 60.

Claims 61-67, 69-73 and 75-77 are dependent on claims 60, 68 and 74, respectively, and are thus allowable for at least the same reasons as their respective base claim.

Claims 78, 83 and 87 recite feature similar to those discussed above with respect to claim 60 and are thus allowable for at least the same reasons as claim 60.

Claims 79-82, 84-86 and 88-90 are dependent on claims 78, 83 and 87, respectively, and are thus allowable for at least the same reasons as their respective base claim and further in view of the novel and non-obvious features cited therein. For example, claims 66, 81, 86 and 90 all relate to reformatting one or more information records including truncating each information record to fit within a data field size of a mobile communication device, each of the at least one information record corresponding to a single entry in the at least one of: the settings of the first mobile communication device, the calendar, the phonebook and the message. Neither Littig nor Ishigami teaches or suggests such features and indeed, the Office Action fails to address Applicants' previously submitted remarks of January 22, 2010. Accordingly, Applicants reassert the previously submitted remarks.

The Office Action asserts Littig describes truncation at FIG. 6E, step 649. Applicants respectfully disagree. Even assuming, without conceding, that the transmission of the alleged only 20 repertories constitutes truncation of a larger set, there is still no teaching or suggestion that a set of 20 repertories constitutes an information record, wherein each information record corresponds to a single entry in at least one of mobile communication device settings, a calendar, a phonebook and a message. Indeed, the fact that Littig describes the alleged information record as 20 repertories clearly indicates that the set of repertories correspond to 20 individual repertories. Thus, the alleged truncation of the set of repertories does not constitute truncation of each individual repertory. Piosenka is also deficient in this respect. Accordingly, claims 66, 81, 86 and 90 are allowable for this additional reason.

Appln. No.: 09/788,493
Amendment dated
Reply to non-final Office Action of February 18, 2010

New Claims

Claims 91-94 have been added. Support for the recited features may be found throughout the originally filed Specification.

Claims 91-94 are dependent on claim 87 and are thus allowable for at least the same reasons as claim 87 and further in view of the novel and non-obvious features recited therein.

CONCLUSION

All rejections having been addressed, Applicants respectfully submit that the instant application is in condition for allowance, and respectfully solicit prompt notification of the same. However, if for any reason the Examiner believes the application is not in condition for allowance or there are any questions, the Examiner is requested to contact the undersigned at (202) 824-3156.

Respectfully submitted,

BANNER & WITCOFF, LTD.

Dated: May 18, 2010

By: /Chunhsi Andy Mu/
Chunhsi Andy Mu
Registration No. 58,216

1100 13th Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20005
Tel: (202) 824-3000
Fax: (202) 824-3001